RECEIVE

ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL SIGNATURES

(The following statement is required by California Elections Code Section 9600.) The undersigned authors of the Dive CT

Direct OR Rebuttal to the h favor of OR Against ballot proposition election for the City of Chula Uista to be held on June 5, 2012 hereby state Thir that such argument is true and correct to the best of_ knowledge and belief. his/her/their NOTE At least one of the authors/signers (designated the "filer") shall meet the criteria of being a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the proposition appears. Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria and are assumed to appear at the discretion and approval of the filer. *Organization Title (Optional) NAME (Signature) NAME (Signature): (Print): (Print): FORMER Tooncilmember Retired City ATTORNEY *TITLE (Optional): *TITLE (Optional): ADDRESS: ADDRESS: DATE: MAR. 21, 2015 PHONE: of 5 mont NAME (Signature): NAME (Signature): John Most (Print): (Print): mben Former Chula Vista City Council ma *TITLE (Optional): *TITLE (Optional): ADDRESS: ADDRESS: DATE: 3/21/12 PHONE: PHONE: NOTE NAME (Signature): Registrar of Voters policy lines (Print): allow candidates, campaign anagers, If provided, this title campaign treasurers, argument or may be printed in the *TITLE (Optional): COUNTY SUPERNISON AND FURLIFIC rebuttal signers of ballot measures of members of their immediate family to Ballot/Voter MAYOR OF CHURA VISTA Sample Information Pamphlet. ADDRESS: host a polling place or act as a poll worker in the jurisdiction in which the candidacy or ballot measure of the interested party is being voted upon. PHONE:

ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL SIGNATURES

(The following statement is required by California Elections Code Section 9600.) Asainst The undersigned authors of the _______ Pirect ballot proposition ___ Direct OR Rebuttal to the In favor of OR Against to be held on Jwa 5, 2017 hereby state City of challe Uister election for the Jurisdiction heir that such argument is true and correct to the best of_ knowledge and belief. his/her/their NOTE At least one of the authors/signers (designated the "filer") shall meet the criteria of being a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the proposition appears. Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria and are assumed to appear at the discretion and approval of the filer. *Organization Title (Optional) NAME (Signature): NAME (Signature): (Print): (Print): RETIRED CHUAVISTA POLICE CHIEF*TITLE (Optional): *TITLE (Optional): ADDRESS: ADDRESS: PHONE: DATE: PHONE: NAME (Signature): NAME (Signature): (Print): (Print): *TITLE (Optional): *TITLE (Optional): ADDRESS: ADDRESS: DATE: PHONE: PHONE: DATE: NOTE NAME (Signature): Registrar of Voters policy does not allow candidates, campain manages (Print): If provided, this title campaign treasurers, ament may be printed in the *TITLE (Optional): rebuttal signers of ballo measures Ballot/Voter Sample members of their immediate family to Information Pamphlet. ADDRESS: host a polling place or at as a polling worker in the jurisdiction in which the candidacy or ballot measure of the interested party is being voted upon.

PHONE:

DATE:

RECEIVED

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

Proposition C is an assault on the independence of your elected City Attorney. It creates a new "legal advisor" beholden to city politicians—not the public interest. It will cause confusion and uncertainty, and could cost taxpayers hundreds of thousands annually. Vote NO on Proposition C.

<u>Chula Vista citizens voted for an elected City Attorney to assure the City received independent, unbiased legal advice, immune from City Council politics.</u>

Without the threat of being fired or penalized, your elected City Attorney advises the Council about their obligations to make decisions in public, limits on their authority, risks to taxpayers, and the need to respect individual rights. This is the independence you voted for, and is what you are currently receiving.

Proposition C would change all that by allowing Councilmembers to use taxpayer money to buy advice that supports their political agendas, while disregarding your elected City Attorney's advice.

With Proposition C, the City will be plagued with competing legal opinions. City Council will have one legal opinion, City staff, or a City Commission, another. Residents and taxpayers trying to get City services or permits will be caught in the middle, and left footing the bill.

Proposition C was railroaded onto the ballot, with no input from the Charter Review Commission or Board of Ethics, and little opportunity for public scrutiny. It's a transparent power grab by certain City Councilmembers and their political supporter, Earl Jentz. Jentz has spent over \$1,000,000 seeking control over City government while costing taxpayers \$500,000 defending against his failed lawsuits against the City.

Demand the integrity, professionalism, and independence provided by your elected City Attorney. Reject this cynical attempt to place politics above the law. Join Mayor Cheryl Cox, former Mayor Shirley Horton, and many others, in voting NO on Proposition C.

Greg Cox, Vice Chair, San Diego County Board of Supervisors, and former Mayor of Chula Vista

John M. Kaheny, retired Chula Vista City Attorney Patty Davis, former Chula Vista City Councilmember Rick Emerson, retired Chula Vista Police Chief John Moot, former Chula Vista City Councilmember CITY OF CHULA VISIA

RECEIVED